

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,354	10/20/2003	Hisao Okaniwa	25903.0002	4211
28892 SNIDER & AS	7590 01/16/2007 SOCIATES	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 27613 WASHINGTON, DC 20038-7613			PETERSON, KENNETH E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
				<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ŋ						
Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/689,354	OKANIWA, HISAO						
Examiner	Art Unit						
Kenneth E. Peterson	3724						
pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
on the same day as filing a Notice of lowing replies. (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in pliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
of the final rejection.	i e de la constanta de la cons						
dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o		er is later. In no					
b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO					
'(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(	a) and the appropriate exte	ension fee have					
n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) on this after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
	•						
ompliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date y extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. st be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
n, but prior to the date of filing a bric consideration and/or search (see NO low);		because					
etter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.						
)). .121. See attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).					
s): allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling					
a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of rovided below or appended.							

Advisory Action	10/689,354 OKANIWA, HISAO						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal and/or							
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \( \subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  it was not persuasive (see attachment)							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 3724

1. In regards to the rejection under 35 USC 112, Applicant argues that it is clear what "face" means. However, it is not clear what is opposite to a one-dimensional line. Applicant should consider more definite language, such as "a planar blade having a cutting edge, and a bristle being within a plane defined by said planar blade, said bristle being generally parallel to said cutting edge and spaced slightly therefrom".

In regards to the rejection by Scott or Edwards under 35 USC 102, Applicant argues that Scott is a comb, not a brush. Examiner has viewed many combs and brushes, and while it is true that are generally distinguishable, there are numerous instances where their definitions overlap. There is no requirement, in the dictionary nor in the patent database, for a brush to have multiple rows of bristles. Likewise, there is no requirement, in the dictionary nor in the patent database, for a comb to have teeth that are any different from bristles on a standard brush. The comb of Scott or Edwards has teeth that meet the definition of "bristles" (stiff, hair-like objects). Applicant should consider adding language that there are there are multiple rows of bristles, or that the bristles are spread out in a three dimensional pattern.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth E. Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-4512. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

kp

Mr Kenfeterson